

Chapter 4 Figures




Figure 4-1: Trawl Tracks in Relation to Closure Types – Washington (added since Draft EIS)




Figure 4-2: Trawl Tracks in Relation to Closure Types – Oregon (added since Draft EIS)




Figure 4-3: Trawl Tracks in Relation to Closure Types – Southern Oregon and Northern California (added since Draft EIS)




Figure 4-4: Trawl Tracks in Relation to Closure Types – Northern California (added since Draft EIS)




Figure 4-5: Trawl Tracks in Relation to Closure Types – Central California (added since Draft EIS)




Figure 4-6: Trawl Tracks in Relation to Closure Types – Southern California (added since Draft EIS)




Figure 4-7: Geographic comparison of the EFH alternatives




Figure 4-8: HAPC Alternative B.3, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-9: HAPC Alternative B.6, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-10: HAPC Alternative B.7, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-11: HAPC Alternative B.7, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives, inset 1




Figure 4-12: HAPC Alternative B.7, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives, inset 2




Figure 4-13: HAPC Alternative B.7, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives, inset 3




Figure 4-14: HAPC Alternative B.8, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-15: Alternative C.2.1, depth-based gear restrictions, option 1 fixed gear areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-16: Alternative C.2.1, depth-based gear restrictions, option 1 trawl gear areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-17: Alternative C.2.2, depth-based gear restrictions, option 2, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-18: Alternative C.2.3, depth-based gear restrictions, option 3, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-19: Alternative C.3.1, close sensitive habitat, option 1, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-20: Alternative C.3.2, close sensitive habitat, option 2, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-21: Alternative C.3.3, close sensitive habitat, option 3, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-22: Alternative C.3.4, close sensitive habitat, option 4, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-23: Alternative C.4.1, prohibit geographic expansion of fishing, option 1, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-24: Alternative C.4.2, prohibit geographic expansion of fishing, option 1, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-25: Alternative C.7, close areas of interest, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-26: Alternative C.8.1 and C.8.2, options 1 and 2, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-27: Alternative C.10, Central California no-trawl zones, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-28: Alternative C.12, ecologically important areas to bottom trawl closed, areas that may be excluded by EFH alternatives




Figure 4-29: Areas of the final preferred impacts minimization alternative that may be excluded by the final preferred EFH alternative. (added since Draft EIS)




Figure 4-30: Trawl Duration




Figure 4-31: Trawl Revenue